The early stages of low-mass star formation: Formation and evolution of the protostar and its disc

erc

James Wurster (he/him)

Collaborators: Matthew Bate, Daniel Price & Ian Bonnell

Institute for Astronomy @ the University of Edinburgh November 9, 2022









Importance of stars: The big picture



Stars as light sources: Hubble Ultra Deep Field (nasa.gov)

Importance of stars: Masses

Main classes of stellar masses



• Massive stars ($8M_{sun} < M$)

 $\bigstar \text{ Low-mass stars } (0.08 M_{sun} < M < 8 M_{sun})$

 $\clubsuit \text{ Brown Dwarfs (} \qquad M < 0.08 M_{sun} \text{)}$

Importance of stars: Masses



Evolutionary path is determined by its mass





Evolutionary path is determined by its *birth* mass





Evolutionary path is determined by its *birth* mass

Importance of stars: Masses

➤ Initial mass function (IMF) of NCG 3603



Low mass stars are much more plentiful than high-mass stars

Importance of Low-stars: Outflows & Discs



Top left: Large scale Herbig-Haro jet driven by a proto-brown dwarf (Riaz et. al., 2017) Bottom left: CO outflows from low-mass stars with 1pc of Sgr A* (Yusef-Zadeh et. al., 2017) Right: HL Tau dust disc (ALMA Partnership, 2015)

Importance of Low-stars: Discs



DSHARP sample (Andrews+2018)

Discs in Perseus (Tobin+2018)



Isothermal collapse

after $T_{core} > 2000 K$

Larson (1969); Illustration by Y. Tsukamoto Background: Orion Molecular Cloud. (image credit: Drudis & Goldman via APOD)

 $M \sim M_{Jupiter}$

Star formation: From the beginning



Disc formation is a natural consequence of star formation

Larson (1969); Illustration by Y. Tsukamoto Background: Orion Molecular Cloud. (image credit: Drudis & Goldman via APOD)

Relevant processes:

✤ Gas

✤ Dust

✤ Etc...

Radiation

Magnetic fields

Kinematics: Rotation

Kinematics: Turbulence





Hydro + radiation
▶ Large disc & no outflows
▶ Not realistic since no magnetic fields

Ideal MHD + radiation
 Outflows & no disc



Hydro + radiation
➤ Large disc & no outflows
➤ Not realistic since no magnetic fields

Ideal MHD + radiation
 Outflows & no disc

Ideal MHD + radiation + turbulence
 Some outflows & no disc



The ideal MHD models demonstrate the Magnetic Braking Catastrophe: discs do not form in numerical simulations containing strong, ideal magnetic fields (e.g., Allen, Li & Shu (2003); Galli+ (2006))

- From top to bottom:
 - Hydro + radiation
 - ✤ Ideal MHD + radiation
 - Ideal MHD + radiation + turbulence

Ideal magnetohydrodynamics



Ideal magnetohydrodynamics



Price & Bate (2007)

Ideal magnetohydrodynamics





≻Partially ionised plasma:



➢Non-zero resistivity & conductivity

≻Ions, electrons & neutrals behaviour is environment-dependent











Non-ideal magnetohydrodynamics

- Strong field, initially vertical magnetic field
- Large scale structure



Non-ideal magnetohydrodynamics

- Strong field, initially vertical magnetic field
- Small scale structure



Non-ideal magnetohydrodynamics

- Strong field, initially vertical magnetic field
- Small scale structure



Non-ideal magnetohydrodynamics: Hall effect

>Depending on the relative orientation of L & B, the Hall-induced rotation will contribute to or detract from the initial rotation



25



Formation of a low-mass star



Available at: https://youtu.be/2SQxgXbdJyg

Wurster, Bate & Price (2018c)

Music: Jo-Anne Wurster

Rotationally supported discs



Discs form during the first hydrostatic core phase
 Similar disc structure obtained by Tsukamoto+ (2015a) with ±B_z

Wurster, Bate & Bonnell (2021); Wurster, Bate & Price (2018a,c)

Rotationally supported discs



Discs form during the first hydrostatic core phase
 Similar disc structure obtained by Tsukamoto+ (2015a) with ±B_z

Wurster, Bate & Bonnell (2021); Wurster, Bate & Price (2018a,c); inset: Tsukamoto+ (2017)

Rotationally supported discs

-

Wurster & Lewis

(2020a)

Sub- and trans-sonic turbulence is not enough to permit the formation of rotationally supported discs when employing ideal MHD



29





- Cyan lines is typical star forming tracks
- Values dependent on microphysics: Grain size, ionised species, cosmic ray ionisation rate 30 Adapted from Wardle (2007); constructed using NICIL v2.0 (Wurster, 2016)





- Multiple conclusions in the literature regarding disc formation with Ohmic resistivity and/or ambipolar diffusion
- Likely possible to form small 1-5au discs in the long term with only Ohmic and/or ambipolar (Dapp and Basu 2010, Machida+ 2011, Dapp+ 2012, Tomida+ 2015, Tsukamoto+ 2015a, Masson+ 2016)
- Hennebelle et al. (2016) predicts 18au discs for ambipolar diffusion only
- > Open question: *When do discs form?*



➤Despite the apparent simplified phase space, many processes are important simultaneously, specifically the Hall effect & ambipolar diffusion









Non-ideal MHD Components: Rotationally supported discs



Discs form during the first hydrostatic core phase
 Similar disc structure obtained by Tsukamoto+ (2015a) with ±B_z

Wurster, Bate & Bonnell (2021); Wurster, Bate & Price (2018a,c)

Non-ideal MHD Components: Rotationally supported discs



>Ohmic resistivity & ambiploar diffusion will form small discs later

Wurster, Bate & Bonnell (2021); Wurster, Bate & Price (2018a,c); see also Wurster, Price & Bate (2016)

|v_⊕ [km/s]

|v_@ [km/s]|

Non-ideal MHD Components: Angular momentum

>All non-ideal components, except the Hall effect with $+B_z$ increase the angular momentum of the first core, thus promote disc formation



Rotationally supported discs: Numerical resolution

Numerical resolution is important on the formation of the discs, especially the small discs in our aligned simulations: $\int_{-\frac{N}{2}}^{1} \int_{-\frac{N}{2}}^{\frac{N}{2}} \int_{-\frac{N}{2}}^{\frac{N}{2}$



Wurster, Bate, Price & Bonnell (2022)

Star formation: From the beginning

Stars do not form in isolation
Star forming environments, on the large scale, are turbulent

Star formation: From the beginning

Pillars of Creation: Hubble Space Telescope [visible] vs JWST [near IR] vs JWST [mid-IR]. (image credit: webbtelescope.org)

Star formation: Stellar nurseries



Taurus Molecular Cloud (Credit: ESO/APEX (MPIfR/ESO/OSO)/A. Hacar et al./Digitized Sky Survey 2. Acknowledgment: Davide De Martin)

Taurus Molecular Cloud: H_2 column density map with positions of young stars (Goldsmith et. al., 2008)

Magnetic field morphology around L1448 IRS 2 (Kwon+ 2019)

X

Cluster Formation: Effect of non-ideal MHD





Cluster Formation: Stellar Mass

≻No trend when stars form

Excluding N03 & I03, there is more mass in stars with weaker initial magnetic field strengths



Wurster, Bate & Price (2019)

The second

Cluster Formation: Star forming regions

Star forming regions have a wide range of initial magnetic field strengths, that are approximately independent of the global environment



Wurster, Bate & Price (2019)



Large protostellar discs form in *all* our models



Large protostellar discs form in *all* our models



Wurster, Bate & Price (2019)

Discs in Perseus (Tobin+2018)

Stellar & disc hierarchy is continuously evolving
There exist circumstellar discs, circumbinary discs, and circumsystem discs
Left: ○ = circumstellar disc; x = circumbinary disc; △ (□)= circumsystem discs about 3 (4) stars





Large protostellar discs form in *all* our models



Conclusions

Star forming molecular clouds are only weakly ionised
 Ideal MHD is a poor description

≻Isolated, low-mass star formation:

- > Large discs only form in the hydrodynamic and $\zeta_{cr} = 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}$ with $-B_z$ models.
 - *this resolved the magnetic braking catastrophe*
- ➤ The Hall effect can cause counter rotating envelopes to form
- When using non-ideal MHD, the maximum magnetic field strength is not coincident with the central magnetic field strength
- Star cluster formation:
 - > No trends amongst most of our parameters
 - Discs form in all of our models, suggesting that the magnetic braking catastrophe is a result of poor initial conditions

